arXiv:1612.08213v1 [math.AG] 24 Dec 2016

FROBENIUS STRATIFICATION OF MODULI SPACES OF VECTOR BUNDLES IN POSITIVE CHARACTERISTIC

LINGGUANG LI

ABSTRACT. Let X be a smooth projective curve of genus $g(X) \geq 1$ over an algebraically closed field k of characteristic $p > 0$ and $F_X : X \to X$ be the absolute Frobenius morphism. Let $\mathfrak{M}^{\mathrm{s}}_X(r,d)$ be the moduli space of stable vector bundles of rank r and degree d on X. We study the Frobenius stratification of $\mathfrak{M}^{\mathrm{s}}_X(r,d)$ in terms of Harder-Narasimhan polygons of Frobenius pull backs of stable vector bundles and get the irreducibility, smoothness and dimension of Frobenius strata in case $(p,g,r,d) = (3,2,3,0)$.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let k be an algebraically closed field of characteristic $p > 0$, X a smooth projective curve of genus g over k. The absolute Frobenius morphism $F_X : X \to X$ is induced by $\mathscr{O}_X \to \mathscr{O}_X$, $f \mapsto f^p$. Let $\mathfrak{M}^{\mathrm{s}}_X(r,d)$ be the moduli space of stable vector bundles of rank r and degree d on X.

Let $\mathscr{E}$ be a vector bundle on X,

$$\mathrm{HN}_\bullet(\mathscr{E}) : 0 = \mathscr{E}_0 \subset \mathscr{E}_1 \subset \cdots \subset \mathscr{E}_{m-1} \subset \mathscr{E}_m = \mathscr{E}$$

the Harder-Narasimhan filtration of $\mathscr{E}$. Consider the points

$$(\mathrm{rk}(\mathscr{E}_i), \deg(\mathscr{E}_i))(0 \leq i \leq m)$$

in the coordinate plane of rank-degree, and connect point $(\mathrm{rk}(\mathscr{E}_i), \deg(\mathscr{E}_i))$ to point $(\mathrm{rk}(\mathscr{E}_{i+1}), \deg(\mathscr{E}_{i+1}))$ successively by line segments. Then we get a convex polygon in the plane which we call the *Harder-Narasimhan Polygon* of $\mathscr{E}$, denote by $\mathrm{HNP}(\mathscr{E})$.

Let (r,d) be a point in the coordinate plane of rank-degree. If $r \leq \mathrm{rk}(\mathscr{E})$, and $d \geq (\leq) d'$ for some point $(r,d') \in \mathrm{HNP}(\mathscr{E})$, then we say (r,d) *lies above* (*below*) the $\mathrm{HNP}(\mathscr{E})$. Given two convex polygons $\mathscr{P}_1$ and $\mathscr{P}_2$, if for any vertex on $\mathscr{P}_1$ lies above $\mathscr{P}_2$, then we say $\mathscr{P}_1$ lies above $\mathscr{P}_2$, denotes by $\mathscr{P}_1 \succcurlyeq \mathscr{P}_2$. There is a natural partial order structure, in the sense of $\succcurlyeq$, on the set $\{\mathrm{HNP}(\mathscr{E}) \mid \mathscr{E} \in \mathfrak{Vect}_X(r,d)\}$, where $\mathfrak{Vect}_X(r,d)$ is the category of vector bundles of rank r and degree d on X.

In general, the (semi)-stability of vector bundles does not preserved under Frobenius pull back F_X^* (cf. [2], [8]). Thus, there is a natural set-theoretic map

$$\begin{aligned} S^s_{\mathrm{Frob}} : \mathfrak{M}^{\mathrm{s}}_X(r,d) &\to \mathfrak{ConPgn}(r,pd) \\ [\mathscr{E}] &\mapsto \mathrm{HNP}(F_X^*(\mathscr{E})) \end{aligned}$$

where $\mathfrak{ConPgn}(r,pd)$ is the partially ordered set consists of all convex polygons in the coordinate plane of rank-degree which start at original point end at (r,pd) and their vertexes are integral lattice points. For any $\mathscr{P} \in \mathfrak{ConPgn}(r,pd)$, denotes

$$\begin{aligned} S_X(r,d,\mathscr{P}^+) &:= \{[\mathscr{E}] \in \mathfrak{M}^{\mathrm{s}}_X(r,d) \mid \mathrm{HNP}(F_X^*(\mathscr{E})) \succcurlyeq \mathscr{P}\}. \\ S_X(r,d,\mathscr{P}_i) &:= \{[\mathscr{E}] \in \mathfrak{M}^{\mathrm{s}}_X(r,d) \mid \mathrm{HNP}(F_X^*(\mathscr{E})) = \mathscr{P}_i\}. \end{aligned}$$

Then we have a canonical stratification of $\mathfrak{M}_X^s(r,d)$ by Harder-Narasimhan polygons of Frobenius pull backs, we call this the *Frobenius stratification*. By a theorem of S. S. Shatz [9, Theorem 3], we obtain that the Frobenius stratum $S_X(r,d,\mathscr{P}^+)$ is a closed subvariety of $\mathfrak{M}_X^s(r,d)$ for any $\mathscr{P} \in \mathfrak{ConPgn}(r,pd)$.

Our main themes is to study the geometric properties of Frobenius strata, such as non-emptiness, irreducibility, connectedness, smoothness, dimension and so on. However, very little is known about these Frobenius strata. Some results are only known in special cases for small values of p, g, r and d. For example, Joshi-Ramanan-Xia-Yu [5] give a complete description of moduli space $\mathfrak{M}_X^s(2,d)$ when $p=2$. They prove the irreducibility of each non-empty Frobenius stratum and obtain their dimensions. Fix r and d, we denote

$$W_X(r,d) := \{[\mathscr{E}] \in \mathfrak{M}_X^s(r,d) | \mathrm{HNP}(F_X^*(\mathscr{E})) \succcurlyeq \mathrm{HNP}(F_X^*(\mathscr{F})) \text{ for any } \mathscr{F} \in \mathfrak{M}_X^s(r,d)\}.$$

Then $W_X(r,d)$ is a closed subvariety of $\mathfrak{M}_X^s(r,d)$ consisting of stable vector bundles, whose Frobenius pull backs having maximal Harder-Narasimhan polygon. Joshi-Ramanan-Xia-Yu [5, Theorem 4.6.4] show that $W_X(2,d)$ is irreducible and of dimension g when $p=r=2$. Moreover, under the assumption $p > r(r-1)(g-1)$, Joshi and Pauly [4, Theorem 6.2.1] show that the dimension of $W_X(r,0)$ is g.

In [6] the author showed that $W_X(p,d)$ is a closed subvariety of $\mathfrak{M}_X^s(p,d)$ which is isomorphic to the Jacobian variety Jac_X of X (See [6, Theorem 3.2]). In particular, $W_X(p,d)$ is an smooth irreducible projective variety of dimension g. Recently, C. Liu and M. Zhou [13, Theorem 1.1] have generalized [7, Proposition 1.4] to higher rank case. Combine with the result [6, Theorem 2.5], we can get the geometric properties of a specific Frobenius stratum.

Theorem 1.1. (*Theorem 5.5*) *Let k be an algebraically closed field of characteristic $p>0$, and X a smooth projective curve of genus $g \geq 2$ over k. Then the subset*

$$V_{rp,d} = \{[\mathscr{E}] \in \mathfrak{M}_X^s(rp,d) \mid \mathrm{HNP}(F_X^*(\mathscr{E})) = \mathscr{P}_{rp,d}^{\mathrm{can}}\}$$

of $\mathfrak{M}_X^s(rp,d)$ is a closed subvariety of $\mathfrak{M}_X^s(rp,d)$ which is an smooth irreducible variety of dimension $r^2(g-1)+1$.

In this paper, we mainly study the Frobenius stratification of $\mathfrak{M}_X^s(3,0)$, where X is a smooth projective curve of genus 2 over an algebraically closed field k of characteristic 3. We provide a complete classification of rank 3 degree 0 semi-stable vector bundles $\mathscr{E}$ with $F_X^*(\mathscr{E})$ is not semi-stable. In this case, there are 4 possible Harder-Narasimhan polygons $\{\mathscr{P}_i\}_{1\leq i\leq 4}$ for Frobenius pull backs of Frobenius destabilized stable vector bundles in $\mathfrak{M}_X^s(3,0)$ (See Section 2).

In general, for a stable bundle $\mathscr{E} \in \mathfrak{M}_X^s(3,0)$, it is difficult to determine the Harder-Narasimhan polygon of $F_X^*(\mathscr{E})$. Fortunately, $\mathscr{E}$ can be embedded into $F_{X*}(\mathscr{L})$ for some line bundle $\mathscr{L}$ of degree -1 (Proposition 3.4). Then we can determine $\mathrm{HNP}(F_X^*(\mathscr{E}))$ by analysing the intersection of $F_X^*(\mathscr{E})$ with the canonical filtration of $F_X^*(F_{X*}(\mathscr{L}))$. Moreover, any subsheaf $\mathscr{E} \subset F_{X*}(\mathscr{L})$ of rank 3 and degree 0 is stable for any line bundle $\mathscr{L}$ of degree -1 (Proposition 3.5). Then we have a morphism

$$\begin{array}{rcl} \theta : \mathrm{Quot}_X(3,0,\mathrm{Pic}^{(-1)}(X)) & \to & \mathfrak{M}_X^s(3,0) \\ [0 \to \mathscr{E} \to F_{X*}(\mathscr{L})] & \mapsto & [\mathscr{E}]. \end{array}$$

and obtain the geometric properties of Frobenius strata of $\mathfrak{M}_X^s(3,0)$ by studying the geometric properties of a stratification of $\mathrm{Quot}_X(3,0,\mathrm{Pic}^{(-1)}(X))$. The main result of this paper is the following Theorem 5.2.

Theorem 1.2. (*Theorem 5.2*) *Let k be an algebraically closed field of characteristic 3, and X a smooth projective curve of genus 2 over k. Then*

(1) $S_X(3,0,\mathscr{P}_1^+) \cong S_X(3,0,\mathscr{P}_2^+)$, $S_X(3,0,\mathscr{P}_1) \cong S_X(3,0,\mathscr{P}_2)$, *and*
$$S_X(3,0,\mathscr{P}_1^+) \cap S_X(3,0,\mathscr{P}_2^+) = S_X(3,0,\mathscr{P}_3^+).$$
(2) $\overline{S_X(3,0,\mathscr{P}_i)} = S_X(3,0,\mathscr{P}_i^+)$, $S_X(3,0,\mathscr{P}_i^+)$ *is an irreducible projective variety for $1 \le i \le 4$, and*
$$\dim S_X(3,0,\mathscr{P}_i^+) = \dim S_X(3,0,\mathscr{P}_i) = \begin{cases} 5 & i=1 \\ 5 & i=2 \\ 4 & i=3 \\ 2 & i=4 \end{cases}$$

2. Classification of Frobenius Harder-Narasimhan Polygons

In this section, we will determine all of the possible Harder-Narasimhan polygons of $F_X^*(\mathscr{E})$ for any Frobenius destabilized stable vector bundles $[\mathscr{E}] \in \mathfrak{M}_X^s(3,0)$, where X is a smooth projective curve over an algebraically closed field k of characteristic 3.

Lemma 2.1 (N. I. Shepherd-Barron [10] and V. Mehta, C. Pauly [7])**.** *Let k be an algebraically closed field of characteristic $p>0$, X a smooth projective curve of genus $g \ge 2$ over k, $\mathscr{E}$ a semi-stable vector bundle on X. Let $0 = \mathscr{E}_0 \subset \mathscr{E}_1 \subset \cdots \subset \mathscr{E}_{m-1} \subset \mathscr{E}_m = F_X^*(\mathscr{E})$ be the Harder-Narasimhan filtration of $F_X^*(\mathscr{E})$. Then*

(1) *For any $1 \le i \le m-1$, $\mu(\mathscr{E}_i/\mathscr{E}_{i-1}) - \mu(\mathscr{E}_{i+1}/\mathscr{E}_i) \le 2g-2$.*
(2) $\mu_{\max}(F_X^*(\mathscr{E})) - \mu_{\min}(F_X^*(\mathscr{E})) \le \min\{r-1, p-1\} \cdot (2g-2)$.

According to the Lemma 2.1, in the case $(p,g,r,d) = (3,2,3,0)$, there are 4 possible Harder-Narasimhan polygons as follows:



3. Construction of Stable Vector Bundles

Definition 3.1. Let k be an algebraically closed field of characteristic $p > 0$, and X a smooth projective curve over k. For any coherent sheaf $\mathscr{F}$ on X, let

$$\nabla_{\text{can}} : F_X^* F_{X*}(\mathscr{F}) \to F_X^* F_{X*}(\mathscr{F}) \otimes_{\mathscr{O}_X} \Omega^1_X$$

be the canonical connection on $F_X^* F_{X*}(\mathscr{F})$. Set

$$\begin{aligned} V_0 &:= F_X^* F_{X*}(\mathscr{F}), \\ V_1 &:= \ker(F_X^* F_{X*}(\mathscr{F}) \twoheadrightarrow \mathscr{F}), \\ &\vdots \\ V_{l+1} &:= \ker\{V_l \overset{\nabla_{\text{can}}}{\to} F_X^* F_{X*}(\mathscr{F}) \otimes_{\mathscr{O}_X} \Omega^1_X \to (F_X^* F_{X*}(\mathscr{F})/V_l) \otimes_{\mathscr{O}_X} \Omega^1_X\} \\ &\vdots \end{aligned}$$

The filtration

$$\mathbb{F}^{\text{can}}_{\mathscr{F}\bullet} : F_X^* F_{X*}(\mathscr{F}) = V_0 \supset V_1 \supset V_2 \supset \cdots$$

is called the *canonical filtration* of $F_X^* F_{X*}(\mathscr{F})$.

Lemma 3.2 (X. Sun [11])**.** *Let k be an algebraically closed field of characteristic $p > 0$, X a smooth projective curve of genus $g \geq 2$ over k, and $\mathscr{E}$ a vector bundle on X. Then the canonical filtration of $F_X^* F_{X*}(\mathscr{E})$ is*

$$0 = V_p \subset V_{p-1} \subset \cdots \subset V_{l+1} \subset V_l \subset \cdots \subset V_1 \subset V_0 = F_X^* F_{X*}(\mathscr{E})$$

such that

1. $\nabla_{\text{can}}(V_{i+1}) \subset V_i \otimes_{\mathscr{O}_X} \Omega^1_X$ *for* $0 \leq i \leq p-1$.
2. $V_l/V_{l+1} \overset{\nabla_{\text{can}}}{\to} \mathscr{E} \otimes_{\mathscr{O}_X} \Omega_X^{\otimes l}$ *is isomorphic for* $0 \leq l \leq p-1$.
3. *If $g \geq 2$ and $\mathscr{E}$ is semi-stable, then the canonical filtration of $F_X^* F_{X*}(\mathscr{E})$ is nothing but the Harder-Narasimhan filtration of $F_X^* F_{X*}(\mathscr{E})$.*
4. *If $g \geq 1$, then $F_{X*}(\mathscr{E})$ is semi-stable whenever $\mathscr{E}$ is semi-stable. If $g \geq 2$, then $F_{X*}(\mathscr{E})$ is stable whenever $\mathscr{E}$ is stable.*

We first generalize [12, Corollary 2.4] to the higher rank case using the same method.

Lemma 3.3. [12] *Let k be an algebraically closed field of characteristic $p > 0$, and X a smooth projective curve of genus $g \geq 2$ over k. Let $\mathscr{F}$ be a semi-stable vector bundle on X. Then for any proper subsheaf $\mathscr{E} \subsetneq F_{X*}(\mathscr{F})$ with $\text{rk}(\mathscr{E}) < p$,*

$$\mu(\mathscr{E}) - \mu(F_{X*}(\mathscr{F})) \leq -\frac{p - \text{rk}(\mathscr{E})}{p}(g-1).$$

Proof. The canonical filtration $\mathbb{F}^{\text{can}}_{\mathscr{F}\bullet}$ of $F_X^* F_{X*}(\mathscr{F})$ induces the filtration

$$0 \subset V_m \cap F_X^*(\mathscr{E}) \subset V_{m-1} \cap F_X^*(\mathscr{E}) \subset \cdots \subset V_1 \cap F_X^*(\mathscr{E}) \subset V_0 \cap F_X^*(\mathscr{E}) = F_X^*(\mathscr{E}),$$

where $m = \max\{\ l \mid V_m \cap F_X^*(\mathscr{E}) \neq 0\ \}$. Let

$$\mathscr{E}_l := \frac{V_l \cap F_X^*(\mathscr{E})}{V_{l+1} \cap F_X^*(\mathscr{E})} \subset \frac{V_l}{V_{l+1}},\ r_l := \text{rk}(\mathscr{E}_l),\ 0 \leq l \leq m.$$

Then

$$\mu(F_X^*(\mathscr{E})) = \frac{1}{\text{rk}(\mathscr{E})} \sum_{l=0}^{m} r_l \cdot \mu(\mathscr{E}_l).$$

and (See [12, Lemma 2.1])

$$\mu(\mathscr{E}) - \mu(F_{X*}(\mathscr{F})) = \sum_{l=0}^{m} \frac{\mu(\mathscr{E}_l) - \mu(\frac{V_l}{V_{l+1}})}{p \cdot \mathrm{rk}(\mathscr{E})} \cdot r_l - \frac{\mu(\Omega^1_X)}{p \cdot \mathrm{rk}(\mathscr{E})} \sum_{l=0}^{m} (\frac{p-1}{2} - l) \cdot r_l.$$

Since $\mathscr{F}$ is semi-stable, $V_l/V_{l+1} \cong \mathscr{F} \otimes_{\mathscr{O}_X} \Omega_X^{\otimes l} (0 \leq l < p)$ are also semi-stable. Note that $\mathrm{rk}(\mathscr{E}) < p$ and $r_0 \geq r_1 \geq r_2 \geq \cdots \geq r_m$, so $m < p-1$. Hence, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mu(\mathscr{E}) - \mu(F_{X*}(\mathscr{F})) &\leq -\frac{2g-2}{p \cdot \mathrm{rk}(\mathscr{E})} \sum_{l=0}^{m} (\frac{p-1}{2} - l) \cdot r_l \\ &\leq -\frac{2g-2}{p \cdot \mathrm{rk}(\mathscr{E})} \sum_{l=0}^{\mathrm{rk}(\mathscr{E})-1} (\frac{p-1}{2} - l) \\ &= -\frac{p - \mathrm{rk}(\mathscr{E})}{p}(g-1). \end{aligned}$$

□

Proposition 3.4. *Let k be an algebraically closed field of characteristic 3, and X a smooth projective curve of genus 2 over k. Let $\mathscr{E}$ be a stable vector bundle on X of rank 3 and degree 0 with non-trivial homomorphism $F_X^*(\mathscr{E}) \to \mathscr{L}$, where $\mathscr{L}$ is a line bundle of degree -1. Then the adjoint homomorphism $\mathscr{E} \hookrightarrow F_{X*}(\mathscr{L})$ is an injection.*

Proof. By adjunction, there is a nontrivial homomorphism $\mathscr{E} \to F_{X*}(\mathscr{L})$. Denote the image by $\mathscr{G}$. By stability of $\mathscr{E}$, we have $\deg(\mathscr{G}) \geq 0$ and $\deg(\mathscr{G}) = 0$ if and only if $\mathscr{E} \cong \mathscr{G}$. On the other hand, $\deg(F_{X*}(\mathscr{L})) = 1$ by Grothendieck-Riemann-Roch theorem (See [11, Lemma 4.2]). Moreover, by Lemma 3.2 the stability of $F_{X*}(\mathscr{L})$ implies that $\deg(\mathscr{G}) \leq 0$. Hence $\deg(\mathscr{G}) = 0$. Thus, $\mathscr{E} \cong \mathscr{G}$, i.e. the adjoint homomorphism $\mathscr{E} \hookrightarrow F_{X*}(\mathscr{L})$ is an injection. □

Proposition 3.5. *Let k be an algebraically closed field of characteristic 3, and X a smooth projective curve of genus 2 over k. Let $\mathscr{L}$ be a line bundle on X of degree -1, $\mathscr{E}$ a subsheaf of $F_X^*(\mathscr{L})$ of rank 3 and degree 0. Then $\mathscr{E}$ is a stable vector bundle.*

Proof. Let $\mathscr{G} \subset \mathscr{E}$ be a subsheaf of $\mathscr{E}$ with $\mathrm{rk}(\mathscr{G}) < \mathrm{rk}(\mathscr{E}) = 3$. By Lemma 3.3 and the stability of $F_{X*}(\mathscr{L})$, we have

$$\mu(\mathscr{G}) - \mu(F_{X*}(\mathscr{L})) < -\frac{p - \mathrm{rk}(\mathscr{G})}{p}(g-1) = -\frac{3 - \mathrm{rk}(\mathscr{G})}{3}.$$

It follows that

$$\mu(\mathscr{G}) < -\frac{2 - \mathrm{rk}(\mathscr{G})}{3} \leq 0.$$

Therefore $\mu(\mathscr{G}) < \mu(\mathscr{E})$. Thus $\mathscr{E}$ is a stable vector bundle. □

By Proposition 3.4, Proposition 3.5 and the classification of Harder-Narasimhan polygons of Frobenius pull backs of Frobenius destabilized stable vector bundles in the case $(p, g, r, d) = (3, 2, 3, 0)$, we have for any Frobenius destabilized stable bundle $[\mathscr{E}] \in \mathfrak{M}_X^s(3, 0)$ with $\mathrm{HNP}(F_X^*(\mathscr{E})) \neq \mathscr{P}_1$ can be embedded into $F_X^*(\mathscr{L})$ for some line bundle $\mathscr{L}$ of degree -1.

4. Geometric Properties of Quot Schemes

Let k be an algebraically closed field of characteristic $p > 0$, X a smooth projective curve of genus g over k, $F_X : X \to X$ be the absolute Frobenius morphism. Let $P^t(T) \in \mathbb{Q}[T]$ be the Hilbert polynomial of $F_{X*}(\mathscr{L})$ for any line bundle $\mathscr{L}$ of degree t on X, and $P_{r,d}(T) \in \mathbb{Q}[T]$ the Hilbert polynomial of any vector bundle $\mathscr{F}$ of rank r and d on X. Denote

$$\Phi^t_{r,d}(T) := P^t(T) - P_{r,d}(T) \in \mathbb{Q}[T].$$

Let $\mathrm{Pic}^{(t)}(X)$ be the Picard scheme parameterizes all line bundles of degree t on X, $\mathcal{L}$ the universal line bundle on $\mathrm{Pic}^{(t)}(X) \times_k X$, and

$$\pi : \mathrm{Quot}_X(r, d, \mathrm{Pic}^{(t)}(X)) := \mathrm{Quot}^{\Phi^t_{r,d}}_{(\mathrm{Id}_{\mathrm{Pic}^{(t)}(X)} \times F_X)_*(\mathcal{L})/\mathrm{Pic}^{(t)}(X) \times_k X/\mathrm{Pic}^{(t)}(X)} \to \mathrm{Pic}^{(t)}(X)$$

the Quot scheme classifying the subsheaves of $(\mathrm{Id}_{\mathrm{Pic}^{(t)}(X)} \times F_X)_*(\mathcal{L})$ on $\mathrm{Pic}^{(t)}(X) \times_k X$, which are flat families of vector bundles of rank r and degree d on X parameterized by $\mathrm{Pic}^{(t)}(X)$. Then for any $[\mathscr{L}] \in \mathrm{Pic}^{(t)}(X)$, the fiber of π over $[\mathscr{L}]$ is the Quot scheme $\mathrm{Quot}_X(r, d, \mathscr{L}) := \mathrm{Quot}^{\Phi^t_{r,d}}_{F_{X*}(\mathscr{L})/X/k}$ parameterizes subsheaves of $F_{X*}(\mathscr{L})$ with rank r and degree d on X.

Consider the commutative diagram of morphisms

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathrm{Quot}_X(r, d, \mathrm{Pic}^{(t)}(X)) \times_k X & \xrightarrow{\mathrm{Id}_{\mathrm{Quot}} \times F_X} & \mathrm{Quot}_X(r, d, \mathrm{Pic}^{(t)}(X)) \times_k X \\ \downarrow{\scriptstyle \pi \times \mathrm{Id}_X} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \pi \times \mathrm{Id}_X} \\ \mathrm{Pic}^{(t)}(X) \times_k X & \xrightarrow{\mathrm{Id}_{\mathrm{Pic}^{(t)}(X)} \times F_X} & \mathrm{Pic}^{(t)}(X) \times_k X. \end{array}$$

and the universal subsheaf

$$\mathcal{E} \hookrightarrow (\pi \times \mathrm{Id}_X)^*(\mathrm{Id}_{\mathrm{Quot}} \times F_X)_*(\mathcal{L}) = (\mathrm{Id}_{\mathrm{Quot}} \times F_X)_*(\pi \times \mathrm{Id}_X)^*(\mathcal{L})$$

on $\mathrm{Quot}_X(r, d, \mathrm{Pic}^{(t)}(X)) \times_k X$. By adjunction, we have homomorphism

$$(\mathrm{Id}_{\mathrm{Quot}} \times F_X)^*(\mathcal{E}) \to (\pi \times \mathrm{Id}_X)^*(\mathcal{L})$$

on $\mathrm{Quot}_X(r, d, \mathrm{Pic}^{(t)}(X)) \times_k X$. Let $\mathscr{G}$ be the co-kernel of above homomorphism. Then $\mathrm{pr}_*(\mathscr{G})$ is a coherent sheaf on $\mathrm{Quot}_X(r, d, \mathrm{Pic}^{(t)}(X))$, where

$$\mathrm{pr} : \mathrm{Quot}_X(r, d, \mathrm{Pic}^{(t)}(X)) \times_k X \to \mathrm{Quot}_X(r, d, \mathrm{Pic}^{(t)}(X))$$

is the natural projection. Then the subset

$$\mathrm{Quot}^\sharp_X(r, d, \mathrm{Pic}^{(t)}(X)) := \{q \in \mathrm{Quot}_X(r, d, \mathrm{Pic}^{(t)}(X)) | \dim_{\kappa(q)}(\mathrm{pr}_*(\mathscr{G})_q \otimes_{\mathscr{O}_q} \kappa(q)) = 0\}$$

is an open subscheme of $\mathrm{Quot}_X(r, d, \mathrm{Pic}^{(t)}(X))$. Let $\mathscr{P}$ be a convex polygon in the coordinate plane of rank-degree which start at point $(0, 0)$ and end at point (r, pd), we denote the subschemes of $\mathrm{Quot}_X(r, d, \mathrm{Pic}^{(t)}(X))$:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{Quot}_X(r, d, \mathrm{Pic}^{(t)}(X), \mathscr{P}^+) &:= \{\ [\mathscr{E}] \in \mathrm{Quot}_X(r, d, \mathrm{Pic}^{(t)}(X)) \mid \mathrm{HNP}(F_X^*(\mathscr{E})) \succcurlyeq \mathscr{P}\ \} \\ \mathrm{Quot}_X(r, d, \mathrm{Pic}^{(t)}(X), \mathscr{P}) &:= \{\ [\mathscr{E}] \in \mathrm{Quot}_X(r, d, \mathrm{Pic}^{(t)}(X)) \mid \mathrm{HNP}(F_X^*(\mathscr{E})) = \mathscr{P}\ \} \\ \mathrm{Quot}^\sharp_X(r, d, \mathrm{Pic}^{(t)}(X), \mathscr{P}^+) &:= \{\ [\mathscr{E}] \in \mathrm{Quot}^\sharp_X(r, d, \mathrm{Pic}^{(t)}(X)) \mid \mathrm{HNP}(F_X^*(\mathscr{E})) \succcurlyeq \mathscr{P}\ \} \\ \mathrm{Quot}^\sharp_X(r, d, \mathrm{Pic}^{(t)}(X), \mathscr{P}) &:= \{\ [\mathscr{E}] \in \mathrm{Quot}^\sharp_X(r, d, \mathrm{Pic}^{(t)}(X)) \mid \mathrm{HNP}(F_X^*(\mathscr{E})) = \mathscr{P}\ \}. \end{aligned}$$

Let $\mathscr{L} \in \mathrm{Pic}^{(t)}(X)$. The fibers of the projections

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{Quot}_X(r,d,\mathrm{Pic}^{(t)}(X)) &\xrightarrow{\pi} \mathrm{Pic}^{(t)}(X) \\ \mathrm{Quot}_X(r,d,\mathrm{Pic}^{(t)}(X),\mathscr{P}^+) \hookrightarrow \mathrm{Quot}_X(r,d,\mathrm{Pic}^{(t)}(X)) &\xrightarrow{\pi} \mathrm{Pic}^{(t)}(X) \\ \mathrm{Quot}_X(r,d,\mathrm{Pic}^{(t)}(X),\mathscr{P}) \hookrightarrow \mathrm{Quot}_X(r,d,\mathrm{Pic}^{(t)}(X)) &\xrightarrow{\pi} \mathrm{Pic}^{(t)}(X). \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{Quot}^{\sharp}_X(r,d,\mathrm{Pic}^{(t)}(X)) \hookrightarrow \mathrm{Quot}_X(r,d,\mathrm{Pic}^{(t)}(X)) &\xrightarrow{\pi} \mathrm{Pic}^{(t)}(X) \\ \mathrm{Quot}^{\sharp}_X(r,d,\mathrm{Pic}^{(t)}(X),\mathscr{P}^+) \hookrightarrow \mathrm{Quot}^{\sharp}_X(r,d,\mathrm{Pic}^{(t)}(X)) \hookrightarrow \mathrm{Quot}_X(r,d,\mathrm{Pic}^{(t)}(X)) &\xrightarrow{\pi} \mathrm{Pic}^{(t)}(X) \\ \mathrm{Quot}^{\sharp}_X(r,d,\mathrm{Pic}^{(t)}(X),\mathscr{P}) \hookrightarrow \mathrm{Quot}^{\sharp}_X(r,d,\mathrm{Pic}^{(t)}(X)) \hookrightarrow \mathrm{Quot}_X(r,d,\mathrm{Pic}^{(t)}(X)) &\xrightarrow{\pi} \mathrm{Pic}^{(t)}(X). \end{aligned}$$

over the $[\mathscr{L}]$ denoted by $\mathrm{Quot}_X(r,d,\mathscr{L})$, $\mathrm{Quot}_X(r,d,\mathscr{L},\mathscr{P}^+)$, $\mathrm{Quot}_X(r,d,\mathscr{L},\mathscr{P})$, $\mathrm{Quot}^{\sharp}_X(r,d,\mathscr{L})$, $\mathrm{Quot}^{\sharp}_X(r,d,\mathscr{L},\mathscr{P}^+)$ and $\mathrm{Quot}^{\sharp}_X(r,d,\mathscr{L},\mathscr{P})$ respectively. For example, the scheme $\mathrm{Quot}^{\sharp}_X(r,d,\mathscr{L},\mathscr{P})$ parameterizes vector bundles $\mathscr{E} \subset F_{X*}(\mathscr{L})$ of rank r and degree d with surjective homomorphism $F_X^*(\mathscr{E}) \to \mathscr{L}$ such that $\mathrm{HNP}(F_X^*(\mathscr{E})) = \mathscr{P}$.

Lemma 4.1 (S. S. Shatz [9] Theorem 2 and Theorem 3)**.** *Let k be an algebraically closed field, X a smooth projective variety over k, H an ample divisor on X. Consider the Harder-Narasimhan filtrations of torsion free sheaves on X in the sense of Mumford's semi-stability. Then*

1. *For any torsion free sheaf $\mathscr{E}$ and any subsheaf $\mathscr{F} \subseteq \mathscr{E}$, we have the point $(\mathrm{rk}(\mathscr{F}), \deg(\mathscr{F}))$ lies below $\mathrm{HNP}(\mathscr{E})$.*
2. *Let $\mathcal{E}$ be a flat family of torsion free sheaves of rank r and degree d on $S \times_k X$ parameterized by a scheme S of finite type over k. Then for any convex polygon $\mathscr{P} \in \mathfrak{ConPgn}(r,d)$, the subset*

$$S_{\mathscr{P}} = \{s \in S \mid \mathrm{HNP}(\mathcal{E}|_s) \succcurlyeq \mathscr{P}\}$$

is a closed subset of S.

In this section, we are interesting in the Frobenius stratification of moduli spaces of vector bundles in the case

$$(p,g,r,d,t) = (3,2,3,0,-1).$$

In this case, the scheme $\mathrm{Quot}_X(3,0,\mathrm{Pic}^{(-1)}(X))$ parameterizes the subsheaves $\mathscr{E}$ of $F_{X*}(\mathscr{L})$ with rank 3 and degree 0 for some line bundle $\mathscr{L}$ of degree -1 on X. By Proposition 3.4 and Proposition 3.5, we know that these vector bundles are stable. This induces a natural morphism

$$\begin{aligned} \theta : \mathrm{Quot}_X(3,0,\mathrm{Pic}^{(-1)}(X)) &\to \mathfrak{M}^{\mathrm{s}}_X(3,0) \\ [0 \to \mathscr{E} \to F_{X*}(\mathscr{L})] &\mapsto [\mathscr{E}]. \end{aligned}$$

Now, we first analysis the structure of the $\mathrm{Quot}_X(3,0,\mathrm{Pic}^{(-1)}(X))$. Let

$$e := [0 \to \mathscr{E} \to F_{X*}(\mathscr{L})]$$

be a closed point of $\mathrm{Quot}_X(3,0,\mathrm{Pic}^{(-1)}(X))$, where $\mathscr{L} \in \mathrm{Pic}^{(-1)}(X)$. The non-trivial adjoint homomorphism $F_X^*(\mathscr{E}) \to \mathscr{L}$ implies that

$$\mu(F_X^*(\mathscr{E})) > \mu(\mathscr{L}) \geq \mu_{\min}(F_X^*(\mathscr{E})),$$

so $\mathscr{E}$ is a Frobenius destabilized stable vector bundle.

Proposition 4.2. *Let k be an algebraically closed field of characteristic 3, and X a smooth projective curve of genus 2 over k. Let $\mathscr{L}$ a line bundle of degree -1 on X, $0 \subset E_2 \subset E_1 \subset F_X^*(F_{X*}(\mathscr{L}))$ the canonical filtration of $F_X^*(F_{X*}(\mathscr{L}))$. Let $[0 \to \mathscr{E} \to F_{X*}(\mathscr{L})] \in \mathrm{Quot}_X(3,0,\mathscr{L})$. Then $\mathrm{HNP}(F_X^*(\mathscr{E})) \in \{\mathscr{P}_2, \mathscr{P}_3, \mathscr{P}_4\}$, and*

(1) $\mathrm{HNP}(F_X^*(\mathscr{E})) = \mathscr{P}_4$ *if and only if* $\deg(F_X^*(\mathscr{E}) \cap E_2) = 2$ *if and only if the adjoint homomorphism $F_X^*(\mathscr{E}) \to \mathscr{L}$ is not surjective. In this case, the Harder-Narasimhan filtration $F_X^*(\mathscr{E})$ is*

$$0 \subset F_X^*(\mathscr{E}) \cap E_2 \subset F_X^*(\mathscr{E}) \cap E_1 \subset F_X^*(\mathscr{E}).$$

(2) $\mathrm{HNP}(F_X^*(\mathscr{E})) = \mathscr{P}_3$ *if and only if* $\deg(F_X^*(\mathscr{E}) \cap E_2) = 1$. *In this case, $[\mathscr{E}] \in \mathrm{Quot}_X^\sharp(3,0,\mathscr{L})$ and the Harder-Narasimhan filtration $F_X^*(\mathscr{E})$ is*

$$0 \subset F_X^*(\mathscr{E}) \cap E_2 \subset F_X^*(\mathscr{E}) \cap E_1 \subset F_X^*(\mathscr{E}).$$

(3) $\mathrm{HNP}(F_X^*(\mathscr{E})) = \mathscr{P}_2$ *if and only if* $\deg(F_X^*(\mathscr{E}) \cap E_2) = 0$. *In this case, $[\mathscr{E}] \in \mathrm{Quot}_X^\sharp(3,0,\mathscr{L})$ and the Harder-Narasimhan filtration $F_X^*(\mathscr{E})$ is*

$$0 \subset F_X^*(\mathscr{E}) \cap E_1 \subset F_X^*(\mathscr{E}).$$

Proof. The canonical filtration $0 \subset E_2 \subset E_1 \subset F_X^*(F_{X*}(\mathscr{L}))$ induces the filtration

$$0 \subset F_X^*(\mathscr{E}) \cap E_2 \subset F_X^*(\mathscr{E}) \cap E_1 \subset F_X^*(\mathscr{E}).$$

Then the injections $F_X^*(\mathscr{E}) \cap E_2 \hookrightarrow E_2$ and $F_X^*(\mathscr{E})/(F_X^*(\mathscr{E}) \cap E_1) \hookrightarrow F_X^*(F_{X*}(\mathscr{L}))/E_1$ imply that $\deg(F_X^*(\mathscr{E}) \cap E_2) \leq 3$ and $\deg(F_X^*(\mathscr{E})/(F_X^*(\mathscr{E}) \cap E_1)) \leq -1$. Suppose that $[\mathscr{E}] \in \mathrm{Quot}_X(3,0,\mathscr{L})$ such that $F_X^*(\mathscr{E})$ is semi-stable or $\mathrm{HNP}(F_X^*(\mathscr{E})) = \mathscr{P}_1$, then $\mu_{\min}(F_X^*(\mathscr{E})) \geq -\frac{1}{2}$. This contradicts the fact $\deg(F_X^*(\mathscr{E})/(F_X^*(\mathscr{E}) \cap E_1)) \leq -1$. Hence $\mathrm{HNP}(F_X^*(\mathscr{E})) \in \{\mathscr{P}_2, \mathscr{P}_3, \mathscr{P}_4\}$.

We first claim that

$$0 \leq \deg(F_X^*(\mathscr{E}) \cap E_2) \leq 2.$$

The fact $\deg(F_X^*(\mathscr{E}) \cap E_2) \leq 2$ followed by Lemma 4.1 and the classification of Harder-Narasimhan polygons of Frobenius pull backs of Frobenius destabilized stable vector bundles in the case $(p,g,r,d) = (3,2,3,0)$. On the other hand, suppose that $\deg(F_X^*(\mathscr{E}) \cap E_2) < 0$, then

$$\deg((F_X^*(\mathscr{E}) \cap E_1)/(F_X^*(\mathscr{E}) \cap E_2)) \geq 2.$$

Then the injection

$$(F_X^*(\mathscr{E}) \cap E_1)/(F_X^*(\mathscr{E}) \cap E_2) \hookrightarrow (F_X^*(\mathscr{E})/(F_X^*(\mathscr{E}) \cap E_1)) \otimes_{\mathscr{O}_X} \Omega_X^1$$

induces a contradiction, since $\deg((F_X^*(\mathscr{E})/(F_X^*(\mathscr{E}) \cap E_1)) \otimes_{\mathscr{O}_X} \Omega_X^1) \leq 1$. This completes the proof of the claim.

(1). I. If $\mathrm{HNP}(F_X^*(\mathscr{E})) = \mathscr{P}_4$, there exists unique maximal destabilizing sub-line bundle $E' \subset F_X^*(\mathscr{E})$ with $\deg(E') = 2$. Suppose that $E' \nsubseteq F_X^*(\mathscr{E}) \cap E_1$, then the composition

$$E' \hookrightarrow F_X^*(\mathscr{E}) \hookrightarrow F_X^*(F_{X*}(\mathscr{L})) \twoheadrightarrow F_X^*(F_{X*}(\mathscr{L}))/E_1 \cong \mathscr{L}$$

is not trivial. This induces a contradiction since $\deg(E') > \deg(\mathscr{L})$. Suppose that $E' \subset F_X^*(\mathscr{E}) \cap E_1$ and $E' \nsubseteq F_X^*(\mathscr{E}) \cap E_2$, then the composition

$$E' \hookrightarrow F_X^*(\mathscr{E}) \cap E_1 \hookrightarrow E_1 \twoheadrightarrow E_1/E_2$$

is not trivial. This induces a contradiction since $\deg(E') > \deg(E_1/E_2)$. Hence $E' \subset F_X^*(\mathscr{E}) \cap E_2$. In fact $E' = F_X^*(\mathscr{E}) \cap E_2$. Thus $\deg(F_X^*(\mathscr{E}) \cap E_2) = 2$.

II. If $\deg(F_X^*(\mathscr{E})\cap E_2)=2$, then the injection

$$F_X^*(\mathscr{E})\cap E_2 \hookrightarrow ((F_X^*(\mathscr{E})\cap E_1)/(F_X^*(\mathscr{E})\cap E_2))\otimes_{\mathscr{O}_X}\Omega^1_X$$

implies that $\deg((F_X^*(\mathscr{E})\cap E_1)/(F_X^*(\mathscr{E})\cap E_2))\geq 0$. So $\deg(F_X^*(\mathscr{E})/(F_X^*(\mathscr{E})\cap E_1))\leq -2$. Hence the adjoint homomorphism $F_X^*(\mathscr{E})\to\mathscr{L}$ is not surjective.

III. If $F_X^*(\mathscr{E})\to\mathscr{L}$ is not surjective, then $\mu_{\min}(F_X^*(\mathscr{E}))\leq -2$. Then by Lemma 4.1 and the classification of Harder-Narasimhan polygons of Frobenius pull backs of Frobenius destabilized stable vector bundles in the case $(p,g,r,d)=(3,2,3,0)$, we have $\mathrm{HNP}(F_X^*(\mathscr{E}))=\mathscr{P}_4$.

In this case, it is easy to see that the Harder-Narasimhan filtration $F_X^*(\mathscr{E})$ is

$$0\subset F_X^*(\mathscr{E})\cap E_2\subset F_X^*(\mathscr{E})\cap E_1\subset F_X^*(\mathscr{E}).$$

(2). I. If $\deg(F_X^*(\mathscr{E})\cap E_2)=1$, then the adjoint homomorphism $F_X^*(\mathscr{E})\to\mathscr{L}$ is surjective by (1) and

$$\mu(F_X^*(\mathscr{E})\cap E_2)>\mu((F_X^*(\mathscr{E})\cap E_1)/(F_X^*(\mathscr{E})\cap E_2))>\mu(F_X^*(\mathscr{E})/(F_X^*(\mathscr{E})\cap E_1)).$$

Hence, $\mathrm{HNP}(F_X^*(\mathscr{E}))=\mathscr{P}_3$ and the Harder-Narasimhan filtration of $F_X^*(\mathscr{E})$ is

$$0\subset F_X^*(\mathscr{E})\cap E_2\subset F_X^*(\mathscr{E})\cap E_1\subset F_X^*(\mathscr{E}).$$

II. If $\mathrm{HNP}(F_X^*(\mathscr{E}))=\mathscr{P}_3$, there exists unique maximal destabilizing sub-line bundle $E'\subset F_X^*(\mathscr{E})\cap E_1$ with $\deg(E')=1$. Suppose that $E'\nsubseteq F_X^*(\mathscr{E})\cap E_2$, then

$$E'\hookrightarrow F_X^*(\mathscr{E})\cap E_1\hookrightarrow E_1\twoheadrightarrow E_1/E_2$$

is not trivial. This implies $E'\cong E_1/E_2$ since E' and E_1/E_2 are line bundles with same degree. Then $E_1=E'\oplus E''$ for some line bundle E'' of degree 3. This induces a contradiction by the proof of [11, Lemma 2.1]. Hence $E'\subseteq F_X^*(\mathscr{E})\cap E_2$. In fact $E'=F_X^*(\mathscr{E})\cap E_2$, so $\deg(F_X^*(\mathscr{E})\cap E_2)=1$.

(3). By the proof of (1) and (2), we can conclude that $\mathrm{HNP}(F_X^*(\mathscr{E}))=\mathscr{P}_2$ if and only if $\deg(F_X^*(\mathscr{E})\cap E_2)=0$. In this case, $[\mathscr{E}]\in\mathrm{Quot}_X^\sharp(3,0,\mathscr{L})$ and the Harder-Narasimhan filtration $F_X^*(\mathscr{E})$ is

$$0\subset F_X^*(\mathscr{E})\cap E_1\subset F_X^*(\mathscr{E}).$$

□

Proposition 4.3. *Let k be an algebraically closed field of characteristic 3, and X a smooth projective curve of genus 2 over k. Then*

$$\overline{\mathrm{Quot}_X(3,0,\mathrm{Pic}^{(-1)}(X),\mathscr{P}_i)}=\mathrm{Quot}_X(3,0,\mathrm{Pic}^{(-1)}(X),\mathscr{P}_i^+),$$

$\mathrm{Quot}_X(3,0,\mathrm{Pic}^{(-1)}(X),\mathscr{P}_i^+)$ is a smooth irreducible projective variety for $i\geq 2$,

$$\dim\mathrm{Quot}_X(3,0,\mathrm{Pic}^{(-1)}(X),\mathscr{P}_i^+)=\dim\mathrm{Quot}_X(3,0,\mathrm{Pic}^{(-1)}(X),\mathscr{P}_i)=\begin{cases}5 & i=2\\ 4 & i=3\\ 3 & i=4\end{cases}$$

Proof. By [3], there is a morphism

$$\begin{aligned}\Pi:\mathrm{Quot}_X(3,0,\mathrm{Pic}^{(-1)}(X)) &\to X\times\mathrm{Pic}^{(-1)}(X)\\ [0\to\mathscr{E}\to F_{X*}(\mathscr{L})] &\mapsto (\mathrm{Supp}(F_{X*}(\mathscr{L})/\mathscr{E}),\mathscr{L}).\end{aligned}$$

For any point $x\in X$ and any $[\mathscr{L}]\in\mathrm{Pic}^{(-1)}(X)$, the fiber of Π over $(x,[\mathscr{L}])$ denoted by $\mathrm{Quot}_X(3,0,\mathscr{L}_x)$. Then there is an one to one correspondence between the set of closed points $[0\to\mathscr{E}\to F_{X*}(\mathscr{L})]$ of $\mathrm{Quot}_X(3,0,\mathscr{L}_x)$ and the set of

$\mathscr{O}_x$-submodules $V \subset F_{X*}(\mathscr{L})_x$ such that $F_{X*}(\mathscr{L})_x/V \cong k$, the latter has a natural structure of algebraic variety which is isomorphic to projective space $\mathbb{P}^2_k$. Hence $\mathrm{Quot}_X(3,0,\mathrm{Pic}^{(-1)}(X))$ is a smooth irreducible projective variety of dimension 5. Without loss of generality, we can assume that $\mathscr{O}_x \cong k[[t^3]]$, then $F_{X*}(\mathscr{L})_x \cong k[[t]]$ endows with $k[[t^3]]$-module structure induced by injection $k[[t^3]] \hookrightarrow k[[t]]$ and

$$F_X^*(F_{X*}(\mathscr{L}))_x \cong k[[t]] \otimes_{k[[t^3]]} k[[t]].$$

Suppose that the $\mathscr{O}_x$-submodule $\mathscr{E}_x$ of $F_{X*}(\mathscr{L})_x$ corresponds to the $k[[t^3]]$-submodule $V_{\mathscr{E}}$ of $k[[t]]$, then the $\mathscr{O}_x$-submodule $F_X^*(\mathscr{E})_x$ of $F_X^*(F_{X*}(\mathscr{L}))_x$ corresponds to the $k[[t]]$-submodule $V_{\mathscr{E}} \otimes_{k[[t^3]]} k[[t]]$ of $k[[t]] \otimes_{k[[t^3]]} k[[t]]$.

Consider the decomposition of $k[[t]] = k[[t^3]] \oplus k[[t^3]] \cdot t \oplus k[[t^3]] \cdot t^2$ as $k[[t^3]]$-module. Then the $k[[t^3]]$-submodule $V_{\mathscr{E}} \subset k[[t]]$ with $k[[t]]/V_{\mathscr{E}} \cong k$ implies that

$$k[[t^3]] \cdot t^3 \oplus k[[t^3]] \cdot t^4 \oplus k[[t^3]] \cdot t^5 \subset V_{\mathscr{E}}.$$

Now, we investigate the intersection of $F_X^*(\mathscr{E})$ with the canonical filtration

$$0 \subset E_2 \subset E_1 \subset F_X^*(F_{X*}(\mathscr{L})).$$

Locally, the stalk E_{1x} has a basis $\{t \otimes 1 - 1 \otimes t, (t \otimes 1 - 1 \otimes t)^2\}$ and E_{2x} has a basis $\{(t \otimes 1 - 1 \otimes t)^2\}$ as $k[[t]]$-submodules of $F_X^*(F_{X*}(\mathscr{L}))_x \cong k[[t]] \otimes_{k[[t^3]]} k[[t]]$ by [11, Lemma 3.2]. Let $[\mathscr{E}] \in \mathrm{Quot}_X^\sharp(3,0,\mathscr{L}_x)$, we claim that

(a) $(t \otimes 1 - 1 \otimes t)^2 \notin V_{\mathscr{E}} \otimes_{k[[t^3]]} k[[t]]$
(b) $(t \otimes 1 - 1 \otimes t)^2 t \in V_{\mathscr{E}} \otimes_{k[[t^3]]} k[[t]]$ if and only if $\{t, t^2\} \subset V_{\mathscr{E}}$.
(c) $(t \otimes 1 - 1 \otimes t)^2 t^2 \in V_{\mathscr{E}} \otimes_{k[[t^3]]} k[[t]]$ if and only if $t^2 \in V_{\mathscr{E}}$.
(d) $(t \otimes 1 - 1 \otimes t)^2 t^3 \in V_{\mathscr{E}} \otimes_{k[[t^3]]} k[[t]]$.

Suppose that $(t \otimes 1 - 1 \otimes t)^2 \in V_{\mathscr{E}} \otimes_{k[[t^3]]} k[[t]]$, then we have

$$V_{\mathscr{E}} \otimes_{k[[t^3]]} k[[t]] = (t \otimes 1 - 1 \otimes t)^2 k[[t]].$$

It follows that $F_X^*(\mathscr{E}) \cap E_2 = E_2$, so $\deg(F_X^*(\mathscr{E}) \cap E_2) = 3$. This contradicts to Proposition 4.2.

Since

$$\begin{aligned}(t \otimes 1 - 1 \otimes t)^2 t &= t^2 \otimes t - 2t \otimes t^2 + 1 \otimes t^3 \\ &= t^2 \otimes t - 2t \otimes t^2 + t^3 \otimes 1\end{aligned}$$

and $\{t^3\} \subset V_{\mathscr{E}}$ by $k[[t^3]] \cdot t^3 \oplus k[[t^3]] \cdot t^4 \oplus k[[t^3]] \cdot t^5 \subset V_{\mathscr{E}}$. Hence, $(t \otimes 1 - 1 \otimes t)^2 t \in V_{\mathscr{E}} \otimes_{k[[t^3]]} k[[t]]$ if and only if $t^2 \otimes t - 2t \otimes t^2 \in V_{\mathscr{E}} \otimes_{k[[t^3]]} k[[t]]$, that is equivalent to $\{t, t^2\} \subset V_{\mathscr{E}}$.

Since

$$\begin{aligned}(t \otimes 1 - 1 \otimes t)^2 t^2 &= t^2 \otimes t^2 - 2t \otimes t^3 + 1 \otimes t^4 \\ &= t^2 \otimes t^2 - 2t^4 \otimes 1 + t^3 \otimes t\end{aligned}$$

and $\{t^3, t^4\} \subset V_{\mathscr{E}}$ by $k[[t^3]] \cdot t^3 \oplus k[[t^3]] \cdot t^4 \oplus k[[t^3]] \cdot t^5 \subset V_{\mathscr{E}}$. Hence, $(t \otimes 1 - 1 \otimes t)^2 t^2 \in V_{\mathscr{E}} \otimes_{k[[t^3]]} k[[t]]$ if and only if $t^2 \otimes t^2 \in V_{\mathscr{E}} \otimes_{k[[t^3]]} k[[t]]$, that is equivalent to $x^2 \in V_{\mathscr{E}}$..

Since

$$\begin{aligned}(t \otimes 1 - 1 \otimes t)^2 t^3 &= t^2 \otimes t^3 - 2t \otimes t^4 + 1 \otimes t^5 \\ &= t^5 \otimes 1 - 2t^4 \otimes t + t^3 \otimes t^2\end{aligned}$$

and $\{t^3, t^4, t^5\} \subset V_{\mathscr{E}}$ by $k[[t^3]] \cdot t^3 \oplus k[[t^3]] \cdot t^4 \oplus k[[t^3]] \cdot t^5 \subset V_{\mathscr{E}}$. It follows that $(t \otimes 1 - 1 \otimes t)^2 t^3 \in V_{\mathscr{E}} \otimes_{k[[t^3]]} k[[t]]$.

In summary, by above claim, we have

$$1 \leq \dim E_{2x}/((V_{\mathscr{E}} \otimes_{k[[t^3]]} k[[t]]) \cap E_{2x}) \leq 3.$$

In detail,

$$\dim E_{2x}/((V_{\mathscr{E}} \otimes_{k[[t^3]]} k[[t]]) \cap E_{2x}) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if ond only if } \{t, t^2\} \subset V_{\mathscr{E}} \\ 2 & \text{if ond only if } t \notin V_{\mathscr{E}} \text{ and } t^2 \in V_{\mathscr{E}} \\ 3 & \text{if ond only if } t^2 \notin V_{\mathscr{E}} \end{cases}$$

Consider the exact sequence of $\mathscr{O}_X$-modules

$$0 \to F_X^*(\mathscr{E}) \cap E_2 \to E_2 \to E_2/(F_X^*(\mathscr{E}) \cap E_2) \to 0.$$

Notice that $E_2/(F_X^*(\mathscr{E}) \cap E_2) = E_{2x}/((V_{\mathscr{E}} \otimes_{k[[t^3]]} k[[t]]) \cap E_{2x})$. Therefore, by Proposition 4.2, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{HNP}(F_X^*(\mathscr{E})) = \mathscr{P}_2 &\Leftrightarrow \deg(F_X^*(\mathscr{E}) \cap E_2) = 0 \\ &\Leftrightarrow \deg(E_{2x}/((V_{\mathscr{E}} \otimes_{k[[t^3]]} k[[t]]) \cap E_{2x})) = 3 \\ &\Leftrightarrow t^2 \notin V_{\mathscr{E}}. \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{HNP}(F_X^*(\mathscr{E})) = \mathscr{P}_3 &\Leftrightarrow \deg(F_X^*(\mathscr{E}) \cap E_2) = 1 \\ &\Leftrightarrow \deg(E_{2x}/((V_{\mathscr{E}} \otimes_{k[[t^3]]} k[[t]]) \cap E_{2x})) = 2 \\ &\Leftrightarrow t \notin V_{\mathscr{E}} \text{ and } t^2 \in V_{\mathscr{E}}. \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{HNP}(F_X^*(\mathscr{E})) = \mathscr{P}_4 &\Leftrightarrow \deg(F_X^*(\mathscr{E}) \cap E_2) = 0 \\ &\Leftrightarrow \deg(E_{2x}/((V_{\mathscr{E}} \otimes_{k[[t^3]]} k[[t]]) \cap E_{2x})) = 3 \\ &\Leftrightarrow \{t, t^2\} \subset V_{\mathscr{E}}. \end{aligned}$$

For $i = 2, 3, 4$, let

$$\mathrm{Quot}_X(3, 0, \mathscr{L}_x, \mathscr{P}_i^+) := \{\ [\mathscr{E}] \in \mathrm{Quot}_X(3, 0, \mathscr{L}_x) \mid \mathrm{HNP}(F_X^*(\mathscr{E})) \succcurlyeq \mathscr{P}_i\ \}$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{Quot}_X(3, 0, \mathscr{L}_x, \mathscr{P}_2^+) &\cong \{V|\ k[[t^3]]\text{-submodule } V \subset k[[t]], k[[t]]/V \cong k\} \cong \mathbb{P}_k^2 \\ \mathrm{Quot}_X(3, 0, \mathscr{L}_x, \mathscr{P}_3^+) &\cong \{V|\ k[[t^3]]\text{-submodule } V \subset k[[t]], k[[t]]/V \cong k, t^2 \in V_{\mathscr{E}}\} \cong \mathbb{P}_k^1 \\ \mathrm{Quot}_X(3, 0, \mathscr{L}_x, \mathscr{P}_4^+) &\cong \{V|\ k[[t^3]]\text{-submodule } V \subset k[[t]], k[[t]]/V \cong k, \{t, t^2\} \subset V_{\mathscr{E}}\} \cong \{p\}. \end{aligned}$$

So $\mathrm{Quot}_X(3, 0, \mathrm{Pic}^{(-1)}(X), \mathscr{P}_i^+)$ is a smooth irreducible projective variety for $i \geq 2$, and

$$\dim \mathrm{Quot}_X(3, 0, \mathrm{Pic}^{(-1)}(X), \mathscr{P}_i^+) = \begin{cases} 5 & i = 2 \\ 4 & i = 3 \\ 3 & i = 4 \end{cases}$$

As $\mathrm{Quot}_X(3, 0, \mathrm{Pic}^{(-1)}(X), \mathscr{P}_i)$ is a open subvariety of $\mathrm{Quot}_X(3, 0, \mathrm{Pic}^{(-1)}(X), \mathscr{P}_i^+)$ for $2 \leq i \leq 4$. Therefore, $\overline{\mathrm{Quot}_X(3, 0, \mathrm{Pic}^{(-1)}(X), \mathscr{P}_i)} = \mathrm{Quot}_X(3, 0, \mathrm{Pic}^{(-1)}(X), \mathscr{P}_i^+)$, $\dim \mathrm{Quot}_X(3, 0, \mathrm{Pic}^{(-1)}(X), \mathscr{P}_i) = \dim \mathrm{Quot}_X(3, 0, \mathrm{Pic}^{(-1)}(X), \mathscr{P}_i^+)$ for $i \geq 2$. $\square$

5. Geometric Properties of Frobenius strata

We now study the geometric properties of Frobenius strata in the Frobenius stratification of moduli space of vector bundles.

Proposition 5.1. *Let k be an algebraically closed field of characteristic 3, and X a smooth projective curve of genus 2 over k. Then the image of the morphism*

$$\begin{array}{rcl} \theta : \mathrm{Quot}_X(3,0,\mathrm{Pic}^{(-1)}(X)) & \to & \mathfrak{M}^s_X(3,0) \\ [0 \to \mathscr{E} \to F_{X*}(\mathscr{L})] & \mapsto & [\mathscr{E}] \end{array}$$

is the subset

$$\{\ [\mathscr{E}] \in \mathfrak{M}^s_X(3,0) \mid \mathrm{HNP}(F_X^*(\mathscr{E})) \in \{\mathscr{P}_2, \mathscr{P}_3, \mathscr{P}_4\}\ \}.$$

Moreover, the restriction $\theta|_{\mathrm{Quot}^\sharp_X(3,0,\mathrm{Pic}^{(-1)}(X))}$ is an injective morphism and the image of $\theta|_{\mathrm{Quot}^\sharp_X(3,0,\mathrm{Pic}^{(-1)}(X))}$ is the subset

$$\{\ [\mathscr{E}] \in \mathfrak{M}^s_X(3,0) \mid \mathrm{HNP}(F_X^*(\mathscr{E})) \in \{\mathscr{P}_2, \mathscr{P}_3\}\ \}.$$

Proof. Let $[\mathscr{E}] \in \mathrm{Quot}_X(3,0,\mathscr{L})$, then $\mathrm{HNP}(F_X^*(\mathscr{E})) \in \{\mathscr{P}_2, \mathscr{P}_3, \mathscr{P}_4\}$ by Proposition 4.2. It follows that the image of θ locus in the following subset

$$\{\ [\mathscr{E}] \in \mathfrak{M}^s_X(3,0) \mid \mathrm{HNP}(F_X^*(\mathscr{E})) \in \{\mathscr{P}_2, \mathscr{P}_3, \mathscr{P}_4\}\ \}.$$

On the other hand, let $[\mathscr{E}] \in \mathfrak{M}^s_X(3,0)$ such that $\mathrm{HNP}(F_X^*(\mathscr{E})) \in \{\mathscr{P}_2, \mathscr{P}_3, \mathscr{P}_4\}$. Then $F_X^*(\mathscr{E})$ has a quotient line bundle $\mathscr{L}'$ of $\deg(\mathscr{L}') \leq -1$. Embedding $\mathscr{L}'$ into some line bundle $\mathscr{L}$ of $\deg(\mathscr{L}) = -1$. So we get the non-trivial homomorphism

$$F_X^*(\mathscr{E}) \twoheadrightarrow \mathscr{L}' \hookrightarrow \mathscr{L}.$$

Then the adjunction $\mathscr{E} \hookrightarrow F_{X*}(\mathscr{L})$ is an injection by Proposition 3.4. Hence, the image of θ is

$$\{\ [\mathscr{E}] \in \mathfrak{M}^s_X(3,0) \mid \mathrm{HNP}(F_X^*(\mathscr{E})) \in \{\mathscr{P}_2, \mathscr{P}_3, \mathscr{P}_4\}\ \}.$$

Now, we will prove $\theta|_{\mathrm{Quot}^\sharp_X(3,0,\mathrm{Pic}^{(-1)}(X))}$ is an injective morphism. Let

$$e_i := [0 \to \mathscr{E}_i \to F_{X*}(\mathscr{L}_i)] \in \mathrm{Quot}^\sharp_X(3,0,\mathrm{Pic}^{(-1)}(X)),$$

where $\mathscr{L}_i \in \mathrm{Pic}^{(-1)}(X)$, $i = 1, 2$. Suppose that $\theta(e_1) = \theta(e_2) \in \mathfrak{M}^s_X(3,0)$, i.e. $\mathscr{E}_1 \cong \mathscr{E}_2$. Since $\mathrm{HNP}(F_X^*(\mathscr{E})) \in \{\mathscr{P}_2, \mathscr{P}_3\}$, we have $\mu_{\min}(F_X^*(\mathscr{E}_i)) = -1$. So the surjection $F_X^*(\mathscr{E}_i) \to \mathscr{L}_i$ implies that $\mathscr{L}_i$ is the quotient line bundle of $F_X^*(\mathscr{E}_i)$ with minimal slope in the Harder-Narasimhan filtration of $F_X^*(\mathscr{E}_i)$. By the uniqueness of Harder Narasimhan filtration, there exists isomorphism $\psi : \mathscr{L}_1 \to \mathscr{L}_2$ making the following diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} F_X^*(\mathscr{E}_1) & \longrightarrow & \mathscr{L}_1 & \longrightarrow & 0 \\ {\scriptstyle\phi}\downarrow{\scriptstyle\cong} & & {\scriptstyle\psi}\downarrow{\scriptstyle\cong} & & \\ F_X^*(\mathscr{E}_2) & \longrightarrow & \mathscr{L}_2 & \longrightarrow & 0 \end{array}$$

commutative, where the isomorphism ϕ is induced from an isomorphism $\mathscr{E}_1 \xrightarrow{\cong} \mathscr{E}_2$. By adjunction, we have commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & \mathscr{E}_1 & \longrightarrow & F_{X*}(\mathscr{L}_1) \\ & & \downarrow \cong & & F_{X*}(\psi) \downarrow \cong \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & \mathscr{E}_2 & \longrightarrow & F_{X*}(\mathscr{L}_2) \end{array}$$

where the horizontal homomorphisms is an isomorphism

$$F_{X*}(\psi) : F_{X*}(\mathscr{L}_1) \xrightarrow{\cong} F_{X*}(\mathscr{L}_2).$$

This implies $\mathscr{E}_1 = \mathscr{E}_2$ as subsheaves of $F_{X*}(\mathscr{L})$, where $[\mathscr{L}] = [\mathscr{L}_1] = [\mathscr{L}_2] \in \mathrm{Pic}^{(-1)}(X)$. Thus, e_1 and e_1 are the some point in the $\mathrm{Quot}_X^\sharp(3, 0, \mathrm{Pic}^{(-1)}(X))$. Hence the morphism $\theta|_{\mathrm{Quot}_X^\sharp(3,0,\mathrm{Pic}^{(-1)}(X))}$ is injective.

Let $[\mathscr{E}] \in \mathfrak{M}_X^s(3,0)$ and $\mathrm{HNP}(F_X^*(\mathscr{E})) \in \{\mathscr{P}_2, \mathscr{P}_3\}$. Then $F_X^*(\mathscr{E})$ has quotient line bundle $\mathscr{L}$ of $\deg(\mathscr{L}) = -1$. Then by Proposition 3.4, the adjoint homomorphism $\mathscr{E} \hookrightarrow F_{X*}(\mathscr{L})$ is an injective homomorphism. Therefore

$$e := [0 \to \mathscr{E} \to F_{X*}(\mathscr{L})] \in \mathrm{Quot}_X^\sharp(3, 0, \mathrm{Pic}^{(-1)}(X))$$

and $\theta(e) = [\mathscr{E}]$. Hence the image of $\theta|_{\mathrm{Quot}_X^\sharp(3,0,\mathrm{Pic}^{(-1)}(X))}$ is the subset

$$\{\ [\mathscr{E}] \in \mathfrak{M}_X^s(3,0) \mid \mathrm{HNP}(F_X^*(\mathscr{E})) \in \{\mathscr{P}_2, \mathscr{P}_3\}\ \}.$$

□

Theorem 5.2. *Let k be an algebraically closed field of characteristic 3, and X a smooth projective curve of genus 2 over k. Then*

(1) *$S_X(3,0,\mathscr{P}_1^+) \cong S_X(3,0,\mathscr{P}_2^+)$, $S_X(3,0,\mathscr{P}_1) \cong S_X(3,0,\mathscr{P}_2)$, and*
$$S_X(3,0,\mathscr{P}_1^+) \cap S_X(3,0,\mathscr{P}_2^+) = S_X(3,0,\mathscr{P}_3^+).$$

(2) *$\overline{S_X(3,0,\mathscr{P}_i)} = S_X(3,0,\mathscr{P}_i^+)$, $S_X(3,0,\mathscr{P}_i^+)$ is an irreducible projective variety for $1 \le i \le 4$, and*
$$\dim S_X(3,0,\mathscr{P}_i^+) = \dim S_X(3,0,\mathscr{P}_i) = \begin{cases} 5 & i = 1 \\ 5 & i = 2 \\ 4 & i = 3 \\ 2 & i = 4 \end{cases}$$

Proof. (1). The morphism

$$\iota : \mathfrak{M}_X^s(3,0) \to \mathfrak{M}_X^s(3,0)$$
$$[\mathscr{E}] \mapsto [\mathscr{E}^\vee]$$

induces an involution of $\mathfrak{M}_X^s(3,0)$, which maps $S_X(3,0,\mathscr{P}_1^+)$ (resp. $S_X(3,0,\mathscr{P}_1)$) onto $S_X(3,0,\mathscr{P}_2^+)$ (resp. $S_X(3,0,\mathscr{P}_2)$). Hence we have

$$S_X(3,0,\mathscr{P}_1^+) \cong S_X(3,0,\mathscr{P}_2^+),$$
$$S_X(3,0,\mathscr{P}_1) \cong S_X(3,0,\mathscr{P}_2).$$

The fact $S_X(3,0,\mathscr{P}_1^+) \cap S_X(3,0,\mathscr{P}_2^+) = S_X(3,0,\mathscr{P}_3^+)$ followed by the classification of Harder-Narasimhan polygons of Frobenius pull backs of Frobenius destabilized stable vector bundles in the case $(p,g,r,d) = (3,2,3,0)$.

(2). The morphism

$$\begin{aligned}\theta : \mathrm{Quot}_X(3,0,\mathrm{Pic}^{(-1)}(X)) &\to \mathfrak{M}^s_X(3,0)\\ [0\to\mathscr{E}\to F_{X*}(\mathscr{L})] &\mapsto [\mathscr{E}]\end{aligned}$$

maps $\mathrm{Quot}_X(3,0,\mathrm{Pic}^{(-1)}(X),\mathscr{P}_i^+)$ onto $S_X(3,0,\mathscr{P}_i^+)$ for $2\le i\le 4$. Then by Proposition 4.3, $S_X(3,0,\mathscr{P}_i^+)$ is a irreducible projective variety for $2\le i\le 4$. As $S_X(3,0,\mathscr{P}_1^+)\cong S_X(3,0,\mathscr{P}_2^+)$, so $S_X(3,0,\mathscr{P}_1^+)$ is an irreducible projective variety. Since $S_X(3,0,\mathscr{P}_i)$ is an open subvariety of $S_X(3,0,\mathscr{P}_i^+)$ by Lemma 4.1, we have

$$\overline{S_X(3,0,\mathscr{P}_i)} = S_X(3,0,\mathscr{P}_i^+)$$

for $1\le i\le 4$. Moreover, by Proposition 5.1, the injection $\theta|_{\mathrm{Quot}^{\sharp}_X(3,0,\mathrm{Pic}^{(-1)}(X))}$ maps $\mathrm{Quot}_X(3,0,\mathrm{Pic}^{(-1)}(X),\mathscr{P}_i)$ onto $S_X(3,0,\mathscr{P}_i)$ for $i=2,3$. Then by Proposition 4.3, we have

$$\dim S_X(3,0,\mathscr{P}_i^+)=\dim S_X(3,0,\mathscr{P}_i)=\dim \mathrm{Quot}_X(3,0,\mathrm{Pic}^{(-1)}(X),\mathscr{P}_i)=\begin{cases}5 & i=1\\ 5 & i=2\\ 4 & i=3\end{cases}$$

Now we study the property of locus $S_X(3,0,\mathscr{P}_4)$. For any $[\mathscr{E}]\in S_X(3,0,\mathscr{P}_4)$ has the form $F_{X*}(\mathscr{L}')$ for some line bundle $\mathscr{L}'$ of $\deg(\mathscr{L}')=-2$ by [6, Lemma 3.1] (or Lemma 5.4). Moreover, by Lemma 5.3, the morphism

$$\begin{aligned}S^s_{\mathrm{Frob}} : \mathfrak{M}^s_X(1,-2) &\to \mathfrak{M}^s_X(3,0)\\ [\mathscr{L}'] &\mapsto [F_{X*}(\mathscr{L}')]\end{aligned}$$

is a closed immersion and the image of S^s_{Frob} is just the $S_X(3,0,\mathscr{P}_4)$. Thus $S_X(3,0,\mathscr{P}_4)=S_X(3,0,\mathscr{P}_4^+)$ is isomorphic to Jacobian variety Jac_X of X which is a smooth irreducible projective variety of dimension 2. □

Lemma 5.3. [6, Theorem 2.5] *Let k be an algebraically closed field of characteristic $p>0$, and X a smooth projective curve of genus $g\ge 2$ over k. Then the well-defined set-theoretic map*

$$\begin{aligned}S^s_{\mathrm{Frob}} : \mathfrak{M}^s_X(r,d) &\to \mathfrak{M}^s_X(rp,d+r(p-1)(g-1))\\ [\mathscr{E}] &\mapsto [F_{X*}(\mathscr{E})]\end{aligned}$$

is a closed immersion.

Now, we will study the geometric properties of a specific Frobenius stratum in the moduli spaces of stable vector bundles of higher rank. We first generalize [6, Lemma 3.1] to the higher rank case.

Fix a quadruple (p,g,r,d), we construct a convex polygon $\mathscr{P}^{\mathrm{can}}_{rp,d}\in \mathfrak{ConPgn}(r,pd)$ as follows:

$$\mathscr{P}^{\mathrm{can}}_{rp,d}:\ \text{with vertices } \Big(i\cdot r, d\cdot i + r\cdot i(p-i)(g-1)\Big) \text{ for } 0\le i\le p.$$

It is easy to check that the difference between the slopes of two successive line segments is $2g-2$.

Lemma 5.4. *Let k be an algebraically closed field of characteristic $p>0$, and X a smooth projective curve of genus $g\ge 2$ over k. Let $\mathscr{E}$ be a stable vector bundle of rank rp on X. Then the following statements are equivalent:*

(*i*) $\mathrm{HNP}(F_X^*(\mathscr{E}))=\mathscr{P}^{\mathrm{can}}_{rp,d}$.

(*ii*) $\mu_{\max}(F_X^*(\mathscr{E})) - \mu_{\min}(F_X^*(\mathscr{E})) = (p-1)(2g-2)$.
(*iii*) *There exists a stable vector bundle* $\mathscr{F}$ *such that* $\mathscr{E} = F_{X*}(\mathscr{F})$.

Proof. $(i) \Rightarrow (ii)$ is obvious by definition of $\mathscr{P}^{\mathrm{can}}_{rp,d}$.

$(ii) \Leftrightarrow (iii)$ follows from [13, Theorem 1].

$(iii) \Rightarrow (i)$. By Lemma 3.2, the Harder-Narasimhan filtration of $F_X^* F_{X*}(\mathscr{F})$ is the canonical filtration of $F_X^* F_{X*}(\mathscr{F})$. It is easy to check that

$$\mathrm{HNP}(F_X^*(F_{X*}(\mathscr{F}))) = \mathscr{P}^{\mathrm{can}}_{rp,d}.$$

□

Theorem 5.5. *Let* k *be an algebraically closed field of characteristic* $p > 0$*, and* X *a smooth projective curve of genus* $g \geq 2$ *over* k*. Then the subset*

$$V_{rp,d} = \{[\mathscr{E}] \in \mathfrak{M}_X^{\mathrm{s}}(rp,d) \mid \mathrm{HNP}(F_X^*(\mathscr{E})) = \mathscr{P}^{\mathrm{can}}_{rp,d}\}$$

of $\mathfrak{M}_X^{\mathrm{s}}(rp,d)$ *is a closed subvariety of* $\mathfrak{M}_X^{\mathrm{s}}(rp,d)$ *which is an smooth irreducible variety of dimension* $r^2(g-1)+1$.

Proof. By Lemma 5.4, we know that $V_{rp,d}$ is precisely the image of the morphism $S^{\mathrm{s}}_{\mathrm{Frob}} : \mathfrak{M}_X^{\mathrm{s}}(r, d - r(p-1)(g-1)) \to \mathfrak{M}_X^{\mathrm{s}}(rp,d)$. Then this corollary follows from Lemma 5.3. □

References

[1] L. Brambila-Paz, H. Lange: A stratification of the moduli space of vector bundles on curves. *J. Reine Angew. Math.* 494 (1998), 173-187.

[2] D. Gieseker: Stable vector bundles and the Frobenius morphism. *Ann. École Norm. Sup.* 6 (1973), 95-101.

[3] A. Grothendieck: Techniques de construction et théorèmes d'existence en géométrie algébrique. IV. Les schémas de Hilbert. Séminaire Bourbaki, Vol. 6, Exp. No. 221, 249-276, Soc. Math. France, Paris, 1995.

[4] K. Joshi, C. Pauly: Hitchin-Mochizuki morphism, Opers and Frobenius-destabilized vector bundles over curves. arXiv: 0912.3602 (2009).

[5] K. Joshi, S. Ramanan, E. Z. Xia, J.-K. Yu: On vector bundles destabilized by Frobenius pull-back. *Compos. Math.* 142 (2006), 616-630.

[6] L. Li, The Morphism Induced by Frobenius Push-Forward, SCIENCE CHINA Mathematics (to appear).

[7] V. Mehta, C. Pauly: Semistability of Frobenius direct images over curves. *Bull. Soc. Math. France.* 135 (2007), no. 1, 105-117.

[8] M. Raynaud: Sections des fibrs vectoriels sur une courbe. *Bull. Soc. Math. France.* 110 (1982), no. 1, 103-125.

[9] S. S. Shatz: The decomposition and specializations of algebraic families of vector bundles. *Comp. math.* 35 (1977), 163-187.

[10] N. I. Shepherd-Barron: Semistability and reduction mod p, *Topology.* 37 (1998), no. 3, 659-664.

[11] X. Sun: Direct images of bundles under Frobenius morphisms. *Invent. Math.* 173 (2008), no. 2, 427-447.

[12] X. Sun: Stability of sheaves of locally closed and exact forms. *J. Algebra.* 324 (2010), 1471-1482.

[13] C. Liu, M. Zhou, Stable bundles as Frobenius morphism direct image. *C. R. Math. Acad. Sci. Paris.* 351 (2013), no. 9-10, 381C383.

School of Mathematical Sciences, Tongji University, Shanghai, P. R. China
E-mail address: LiLg@tongji.edu.cn